



III5 Dexter Ave N

EARLY DESIGN GUIDANCE WEST BOARD DESIGN REVIEW BOARD MEETING ON 07/12/2017

DPD #3028130



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

PROJECT INTRODUCTION

Project Description Zoning Map and Synopsis ...

CONTEXT

Neighborhood Circulation... Neighborhood Building Entri Neighborhood Buildings..... Adjacent Sites..... Street Elevations..... Analysis Summary.....

SITE ANALYSIS

Existing Site Conditions...... Massing Considerations Sightline Study.....

MASSING SCHEMES

Overview Option I – Enclosed Courtya Option 2 – Open to the East Option 3 – Open to the Nor Option Comparison.....

Landscape Design Priority Design Guidelines...

APPENDIX

Tree Survey.....

 		• •				 				• •			 				• •											 	4
 									 																			 	5

					 																										 				 			. 1	6)
ie	25				 																										 				 				7	7
					 																														 			. }	8	3
					 																														 			(С)
					 																										 				 			1	6)

	 	 	 • •				 				•	 			 			 		 •	 		•	 	 	l	8
	 	 	 				 					 			 			 		 	 			 	 	2	2
 	 	 	 				 					 			 			 		 	 			 	 	2	4

yard26
st
orth

 	 	52

PROJECT INTRODUCTION

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project is a 170-unit apartment building located at the corner of Dexter Avenue North and Highland Drive, within the designated South Lake Union Urban Center. The project site is a through-block development, fronting Aurora on the west, Highland Drive to the north and Dexter Avenue to the east.

The 65 foot grade difference between Dexter and Aurora results in a two-step massing response within the SM-85 Zoning. The eastern building mass will be seven stories above Dexter and the western building mass will be six stories above Aurora. The proposed construction is Type III over Type I construction.

Vehicular and bicycle parking will occupy much of the below-grade area and above grade floor plates will be residential use. A club room, roof deck, exercise facilities, and bicycle storage add to the sense of community of residents. The project will include 100 parking stalls provided for the use of the building's residents.

There are no departure requests anticipated for the project as proposed.





REGIONAL VIEW



building height (Aurora Ave N.) $\hfill \hfill \hf$



building height (Dexter Ave N.) **85** ft.





ZONING MAP AND SYNOPSIS

				KING COUNTY PARCEL #'S	2249500450, 2249500425, 2249500430, 2249500443, 2249500444
		LR3		ZONING CLASSIFICATION	SM-85
LR2			65	SITE AREA	27,819 SF PER PARCEL DATA (SURVEY INDICATES 28,386 SF - FAR CALCULATIONS BA
				PERMITTED USES (23.48.005)	OFFICE, HOTEL, RETAIL, RESIDENTIAL, ETC.
	-65 C3-4	-40		REQUIRED STREET LEVEL USES (23.48.005.D)	FOR LOTS ABUTTING CLASS I PEDESTRIAN STREETS SHOWN IN MAP A FOR 23.48 PARK SPACE IS REQUIRED = N/A
	J Z	8 8		FAR (TABLE A FOR 23.48.020)	BASE - 4.5, MAXIMUM - 6 (RESIDENTIAL USES ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THE BASE FAR
		ž ž C2-65	LINE INDICATES SHORELINE DISTRICT	EXTRA FLOOR AREA (23.48.021.C)	IF THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT LIMIT FOR NON-RESIDENTIAL USE IS 85' OR LOWER, TH FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING PURSUANT TO 23.58A.014 TO ACHIEVE ALL EXTRA R DEVELOPMENT CONTAINING EXTRA FLOOR AREA SHALL: EARN LEED SILVER RATING PROVIDE A TMP FOR NON-RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
LR3 / LR2				STRUCTURE HEIGHT (23.48.025)	85' FOR ALL PERMITTED USES
	RA AVE N EXTER AVE N	SM 85/ 65-125	MESTLAKE AVE N	HEIGHT MEASUREMENT (23.86.006.E.3)	IN THE SOUTH LAKE UNION URBAN CENTER, MAXIMUM HEIGHT SHALL BE MEA WHEN THE SLOPE OF THE MAJOR STREET LOT LINE IS LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO DETERMINED BY ADDING THE MAXIMUM PERMITTED HEIGHT TO THE EXISTING LOT LINE. ON A THROUGH-LOT, THE ELEVATION OF MAXIMUM HEIGHT SHALL A STREET LOT LINE. ON THE OTHER HALF OF A THROUGH-LOT, THE ELEVATION OF METHOD USING THE STREET LOT LINE OPPOSITE AND PARALLEL TO THE MAJOR
	AURORA			ROOFTOP FEATURES (23.48.025.C.4, 23.48.025.C.5)	STAIR PENTHOUSES, SOLAR COLLECTORS, MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT, CAN EXCE ELEVATOR PENTHOUSES FOR STRUCTURES GREATER THAN 85' IN HEIGHT CAN E ALL FEATURES CAN BE COMBINED AND COVER 65% OF ROOF AREA AS LONG AS ALL FEATURES ARE 10' FROM ROOF EDGE
3 -RC				STREET LEVEL DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS (23.48.040)	EACH NEW STRUCTURE FACING A CLASS I OR 2 PEDESTRIAN STREET IS REQUIRE PEDESTRIANS FROM THE STREET OR A STREET-ORIENTED COURTYARD THAT IS N GRADE. ON CLASS 2 PEDESTRIAN STREETS, THE MINIMUM HEIGHT FOR A STREET FACING 60% OF THE STREET FACING FACADE MUST BE TRANSPARENT
	SITE			AMENITY AREA FOR RESIDENTIAL USES (23.48.045)	AMENITY AREA IS REQUIRED FOR DEVELOPMENT WITH MORE THAN 20 DWELLIN 5% OF TOTAL GROSS AREA REQUIRED AS AMENITY AREA. 50% OF AREA MAY BE B REQUIREMENT MAY BE MET BY CONTRIBUTING TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE A
	SM-85			LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENTS (23.48.055.A.2)	GREEN FACTOR SCORE OF .30 OR GREATER IS REQUIRED
				SCREENING REQUIREMENTS (23.48.055.C.3)	ON CLASS 2 PEDESTRIAN STREETS, PARKING IS NOT PERMITTED AT STREET LEVEL
				PARKING AND LOADING ACCESS (23.48.085.D.I)	ACCESS TO PARKING AND LOADING SHALL BE FROM AN UN DESIGNATED STREE
					DUE TO PHYSICAL SITE CONDITIONS SUCH AS TOPOGRAPHIC OR GEOLOGIC CO PARTIALLY BELOW STREET LEVEL AND PARTIALLY ABOVE STREET LEVEL WITHOUT
LR3/LR2	C1-65	C1-65	C2-65	PARKING AT STREET LEVEL WITHIN	A. THE STREET FRONT PORTION OF THE PARKING THAT IS AT OR ABOVE STREET REQUIRING STREET-LEVEL USES; AND
LR2	NC3-40	NC3-40	SM 85/65-125	STRUCTURES (23.48.085.B.2)	B. THE STREET FRONT PORTION OF THE PARKING THAT IS AT OR ABOVE STREET PERMITTED ACCESS TO PARKING, IS SCREENED FROM VIEW AT THE STREET LEVEL
LR3-RC	SM-85	NC3-65	C2-40		C. THE STREET-FACING FACADE IS ENHANCED BY ARCHITECTURAL DETAILING, A PROVIDING ACCESS TO RESIDENTIAL USES, OR SIMILAR VISUAL INTEREST FEATUR

BASED UPON 27,819 SF)

.48.240, SALES/EATING/DRINKING/ENTERTAINMENT/LIBRARY/

FAR LIMIT IN THE SM-85 ZONE)

, THE APPLICANT SHALL USE BONUS RESIDENTIAL FLOOR AREA A RESIDENTIAL FLOOR AREA ON THE LOT.

EASURED AS FOLLOWS:

O 7.5 PERCENT, THE ELEVATION OF MAXIMUM HEIGHT SHALL BE NG GRADE ELEVATION AT THE MIDPOINT OF THE MAJOR STREET L APPLY ONLY TO THE HALF OF THE LOT NEAREST THE MAJOR I OF MAXIMUM HEIGHT SHALL BE DETERMINED BY THE ABOVE OR STREET LOT LINE AS DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT B FOR 23.86.006.

KCEED THE HEIGHT LIMIT BY 15' N EXCEED HEIGHT LIMIT BY 25' AS ALL MECH. EQUIPMENT IS SCREENED, AND

IRED TO PROVIDE A PRIMARY BUILDING ENTRANCE FOR IS NO MORE THAN 3 FEET ABOVE OR BELOW THE SIDEWALK

NG FACADE IS 25 FEET.

LING UNITS

E ENCLOSED. UP TO 50% OF THE AMENITY AREA HE ABUTTING NEIGHBORHOOD GREEN STREET (8TH AVE N).

/EL UNLESS SEPARATED FROM THE STREET BY OTHER USES

EET (HIGHLAND DR)

CONDITIONS, PARKING IS PERMITTED IN STORIES THAT ARE UT BEING SEPARATED FROM THE STREET BY OTHER USES, IF: ET LEVEL DOES NOT ABUT A CLASS I PEDESTRIAN STREET

et level, excluding garage and loading doors and /el; and g, artwork, landscaping, stoops, and porches ures.



CONTEXT: NEIGHBORHOOD CIRCULATION

Several north/south-running arterials connect the South Lake Union area with the neighborhoods to the north and to the downtown core, while the east/west-moving traffic is mainly pedestrian, via a collection of smaller paths, hill climbs and footbridges.

The three north/south-running arterials are Aurora Ave N, Dexter Ave N, and Westlake Ave N. Aurora Ave N carries the heaviest and highest-speed vehicular traffic and is served by several express-bus routes. Very few access points are found off of Aurora Ave N due to the high speed of traffic, divided median, and limited of pedestrian crossings. Pedestrian paths across Aurora Ave N are limited to Ray Moore Bridge 0.2 miles to the north and at the underpass at Mercer St 0.35 miles to the south.

The vehicular traffic on Dexter Ave N and Westlake Ave N is also substantial, but slower. Both streets are served by several local bus routes. Dexter Ave N includes a designated bike lane, taking much of the bike commuter traffic from downtown to the north end neighborhoods. The Westlake Cycle Track is a 1.2-mile protected bike lane that runs from the Fremont Bridge to South Lake Union.

The east/west-running traffic in the vicinity is mainly pedestrian. People use the hill climbs and side streets to move from the transit options on Aurora Ave N down the hill to residences, offices, and South Lake Union Park.

LEGEND

.



- Bicycle / Vehicular / Transit corridor (Slower traffic road)
- Pedestrian HIIIclimb / Footbridge / Pedestrian St.
- Distance to Pedestrian Access Across Aurora Ave N.
- Bus Stop





CONTEXT: NEIGHBORHOOD BUILDING ENTRIES

Building entries in the neighborhood are primarily located off of Dexter Ave N and Westlake Ave N, while loading zones, and garage entries are most often located off side streets. Very few building access points are located on Aurora Ave N, due to the high speed of the vehicular traffic and lower pedestrian activity.

LEGEND



Pedestrian Building Access







CONTEXT: NEIGHBORHOOD BUILDINGS

NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER

The project is sited in the South Lake Union Urban Center, an area with industrial roots that has seen a significant amount of growth in the past decade. The neighborhood is comprised of a wide mix of building uses. Most new development falls into either residential or commercial office uses, with a growing number of retail shops, restaurants and cafes coming in along Dexter.

The character of this area is significantly shaped by the topography, which steeply slopes down to Lake Union. Several arterials run through the area in the direction of the topography, connecting downtown with the residential neighborhoods to the north and creating movement through the neighborhood in the north/south direction.

Conversely, travel in the east/west direction is significantly slowed by the steeply sloping topography. East/west streets often dead-end to vehicles and several provide hill climbs for pedestrians to access the transit options on Aurora. These hill climbs shape the character of the neighborhood by providing pockets of greenspace in the urban fabric and offering protected views down of the lake.

While the South Lake Union Urban Center lies adjacent to the single family residences on the lower east side of Queen Anne Hill, the fast-moving traffic on Aurora limits the connection between these two areas.





CONTEXT: NEIGHBORHOOD BUILDINGS

RESIDENTIAL USE







RESIDENTIAL USE





RESIDENTIAL USE







MIXED-USE

OFFICE USE







MIXED-USE



OFFICE USE

7



MIXED-USE



OFFICE AND RETAIL USE



MIXED-USE





OFFICE USE





MIXED-USE



CONTEXT: ADJACENT SITES



















WEBER THOMPSON









WEBER THOMPSON

DEXTER AVE N FACING EAST







- 1.) 5-7 STORIES STREET FRONTAGE
- (2.) PUNCHED OPENINGS
- (3.) RIBBON WINDOWS
 - 2-STORY BASE EXPRESSION
 - TRANSPARENCY AT PEDESTRIAN LEVEL

MID-BLOCK COURTYARD

- (7.) STEPPING/ TERRACED VOLUMES
- (8.) MONOLITHIC
- (9.) INCREASED SETBACKS
- (10.) INCREASED VEGETATION
- (II.) LOW RISE

4.

5.

6.

220'-0"

1000 DEXTER AVE N



DEXTER FACING WEST



NOT TO SCALE





AURORA FACING EAST



PROJECT SITE: AURORA AVE N FRONTAGE





- 5-7 STORIES STREET FRONTAGE
- PUNCHED OPENINGS
- **RIBBON WINDOWS**
- 2-STORY BASE EXPRESSION
- TRANSPARENCY AT PEDESTRIAN LEVEL

- 7. STEPPING/ TERRACED VOLUMES
- 8. MONOLITHIC
- (9.) INCREASED SETBACKS
- (10.)INCREASED VEGETATION
- (11.)LOW RISE

MID-BLOCK COURTYARD



Ι.

(2.)

(3.)

4.

5.

6.



AURORA FACING WEST



82'-0"	0'-0"	36'-0"	85'-0"	55'-0"	8'-0"	36'-0"
				HIGHLAND DR		I
						1215
	612 PROSPECT ST		1101-1199 AURORA AVE N			AURORA AVE
						Ν

NOT TO SCALE





AURORA AVE

COMSTOCK



CONTEXT: ANALYSIS SUMMARY

DEXTER AVE N:

- MORE MAIN BUILDING ENTRIES THAN AURORA AVE N OR SIDE STREETS
- MID-SPEED VEHICULAR TRAFFIC AND HEAVY BICYCLE TRAFFIC PERCEIVE MORE CLEARLY LARGER BUILDING ELEMENTS AT THESE SPEEDS
- LARGE BUILDING SITES WITH MID-BLOCK COURTYARDS
- STRONG 2-STORY EXPRESSIONS AT STREET LEVEL
- STREET LEVEL PEDESTRIAN EXPERIENCE WITH MORE SOLID BUILDING MASSES ABOVE
- LARGE FACADE PLANES AT PROPERTY LINE CREATE A STRONG URBAN EDGE



DEXTER: SUCCESSFUL MID-BLOCK COURTYARDS 50'-75' IN WIDTH



DEXTER: STRONG 2-STORY EXPRESSION

AURORA AVE N:

- ALTERNATE ENTRIES, MAINLY BUILDING EGRESS
- HIGH SPEED VEHICULAR TRAFFIC PERCEIVE MORE CLEARLY LARGER BUILDING ELEMENTS AT THESE SPEEDS
- FEWER PEDESTRIANS
- INCREASED BUILDING SETBACKS AND LANDSCAPE TO BUFFER FROM VEHICULAR TRAFFIC
- FEWER CHANGES IN MASS AND MATERIAL FROM PEDESTRIAN LEVEL TO THE REST OF THE BUILDING
- LESS ARTICULATED FACADES CREATE A STRONG URBAN EDGE



AURORA: FEWER CHANGES IN MASS



AURORA: INCREASED SETBACK/ VEGETATION



CONTEXT: ANALYSIS SUMMARY

HIGHLAND DRIVE / SIDE STREETS:

- MAJOR PEDESTRIAN CORRIDOR, FUTURE HILL CLIMB WILL CONNECT AURORA ALL THE WAY TO WESTLAKE
- STEEPLY SLOPED
- DRIVEWAY FOR GARAGE ENTRIES
- STEPPED BUILDING FACADES TO RESPOND WITH GRADE CHANGE
- SOLID WALL PRECEDENTS FEEL OUT OF SCALE AND OVERWHELMING
- WALLS WITH A PHYSICAL BREAK FEEL MORE INVITING AND RESPOND TO THE SCALE
 OF THE SIDE STREET





CORNER OF COMSTOCK ST & DEXTER COR SOLID WALL SIDE STREET PRECEDENTS

CORNER OF LEE ST & DEXTER





CORNER OF GALER ST & DEXTER CORNER OF COMSTOCK ST & DEXTER PHYSICAL BREAK IN WALL SIDE STREET PRECEDENTS

HILL CLIMBS

- OVERGROWN VEGETATION DOESN'T ALLOW FOR 'EYES ON THE STREET'
- THE EXISTING HIGHLAND HILL CLIMB IS ONE OF THE NICEST ALONG AURORA



PRECEDENT: COMSTOCK AND GALER ST HILL CLIMBS



EXISTING HIGHLAND DR HILL CLIMB



THE STREET' T ALONG AURORA



INTRODUCTION

The project is located on a through-block site between Dexter Avenue North and Aurora Avenue North, at the intersection of Dexter and Highland Drive. Highland drive dead-ends into an established pedestrian hill climb.

The site slopes steeply down from Aurora. There is roughly 65' elevation difference between Dexter and Aurora.

Five single-family structures sit on the site. Four are currently used as rental houses and the one at the corner of Dexter and Highland is rented as commercial office.

The existing site is heavily vegetated, but there are no exceptional trees. See appendix for additional tree information.











VIEW A – VIEW FROM HIGHLAND DR AND DEXTER AVE N



RELATIONSHIP OF SITE TO DEXTER

The project site is located at the southwest corner of Dexter Avenue North and Highland Drive. Dexter hosts substantial mid-speed vehicular and bicycle traffic, connecting commuters from the downtown commercial core to the neighborhoods on the north end.

The northeast corner of the site is especially prominent to southbound Dexter traffic. The width of Highland Drive, combined with the low-profile of the restaurant building across the street on Highland make it such that the corner of the site can be seen at a distance.





RELATIONSHIP OF SITE TO AURORA

The west edge of the site parallels Aurora Ave N. Characterized by six lanes of high speed vehicular traffic, a divided median and few opportunities for pedestrian crossing, Aurora Ave N forms the western edge of the South Lake Union neighborhood.

While Highland Dr does not go through to Aurora, because the hill climb is a protected right-of-way, the northwest corner of the project site is highly visible to southbound Aurora Ave N traffic.

An existing parking platform currently exists on the site at the Aurora Ave N grade level. It sits on piers and cantilevers out over the steeply sloping site. The platform straddles the property line to the north and extends into the Highland Dr right-of-way by approximately 20 feet.





VIEW A – VIEW FROM HIGHLAND DR AND AURORA AVE N





VIEW B – VIEW FROM HIGHLAND DR



RELATIONSHIP OF SITE TO HIGHLAND

The north edge of the site fronts Highland Dr, a road that dead-ends at an established pedestrian hill climb up to Aurora Ave N. In stark contrast with the fast-moving vehicular and bicycle traffic in the northsouth direction along Dexter Ave N and Aurora Ave N, the pace of travel in the east-west direction is considerably slower.

The Highland hill climb is one of the more established ones in this area, connecting pedestrians from Dexter Ave N with the express transit options along Aurora Ave N. On the other side of Dexter Ave N, a new stair is proposed that will create a continuous pedestrian connection from Aurora Ave N down to Westlake Ave N and Lake Union.



SITE ANALYSIS: MASSING CONSIDERATIONS

SETBACKS

There are three primary site setbacks that affect the massing of the building.

On the west side of the site, overhead power lines run parallel to Aurora Ave N. These power lines require a setback which shifts the west portion of the building to the east.

At the corner of Highland Dr and Dexter Ave N, power lines run eastward across Dexter Ave N. These power lines require a setback which shifts the west portion of the building to the south.

On the south side of the site, the massing will set back from the property line adjacent to 1101 Dexter Ave N to provide opportunity for glazing along that facade and provide space for light and air between the two buildings.

BUILDING EDGES

The differing character and traffic speeds of the three adjacent streets also inform the massing response.

Fast-moving traffic in the north-south direction can support larger-scale massing moves with less articulation along Dexter Ave N and Aurora Ave N. Slower, primarily foot traffic in the EW direction encourages a smaller-scale massing strategy with more articulation along Highland.



1115 Dexter Ave N Site

Vehicular traffic (fast)

Vehicular traffic (slow)

Pedestrian circulation

Public Transit Stop





SITE ANALYSIS: MASSING CONSIDERATIONS







CONTEXT: SIGHTLINE STUDY

SIGHTLINE STUDY

The proposed project shares its south property line with the Dexter Station Building (1101 Dexter Ave N), a commercial office building.

Dexter Station is set back 14'-10 from the property line, and has a substantial number of windows on its north elevation. The proposed project would set back 10', allowing for a total of 24'-10" of space to provide light and air between the two buildings.

However, as seen in the sight line study below, even with a slight stagger between the commercial and residential floor plates, there is high visibility between the two buildings. These findings indicate that the number of units/windows on the south façade should be kept to a minimum.





SECTION A-A

CAPSTONE Partners LLC 1115 DEXTER AVE N 07.12.2017 PAGE 24



MASSING SCHEMES: OVERVIEW

MASSING OPTION I **ENCLOSED COURTYARD**





• Code-compliant - No departures

MASSING OPTION 2 OPEN TO THE EAST





• Code-compliant - No departures

MASSING OPTION 3 OPEN TO THE NORTH





• Code-compliant - No departures





WEBER THOMPSON

MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION I – ENCLOSED COURTYARD





AERIAL PLAN



AERIAL FROM NORTHEAST





MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION I – ENCLOSED COURTYARD



VIEW OF NORTHEAST CORNER ALONG DEXTER AVE N



MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION I – SUN SHADOW STUDIES

SUMMER SOLSTICE





FALL/SPRING EQUINOX





WINTER SOLSTICE







l2 pm











 $\mathbf{\hat{}}$

WEBER THOMPSON

MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION I - ENCLOSED COURTYARD



LEVEL I PLAN (LEVEL 2-3 SIM) - LOBBY AT DEXTER AND HIGHLAND



















MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION I APPROACH

DESIGN INSPIRATION

LARGE VOLUMES. VARYING PLANES. INWARDLY FOCUSED.

- (I.) COMPOSITION OF LARGE VOLUMES BROKEN BY SMALLER ELEMENTS. PUNCHED WINDOW OPENINGS LIVE WITHIN THE LARGER VOLUMES.
- (2.) INWARDLY FOCUSED BUILDING. AN ENCLOSED COURTYARD CREATES A PRIVATE EXPERIENCE FOR THOSE WHO OCCUPY IT.
- (3.) CONTRASTING MATERIAL FOR HIGH-IMPACT. CHANGES IN MATERIAL OCCUR AT BROKEN VOLUMES. VOLUMES BROKEN BY VARYING PLANES OR SMALLER ELEMENTS.









MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION I APPROACH

SUMMARY

- WITH AN INWARDLY FACING SCHEME, ALL THE FACADES BECOME MORE MONOLITHIC WHICH OVERWHELMS HIGHLAND.
- THERE IS A STRONG 2-STORY EXPRESSION AND A DYNAMIC CORNER WITH FACADE ELEMENTS TO DRAW THE EYE UP HIGHLAND, BUT IT FAILS TO ALLEVIATE BULK
- MONOLITHIC FACADE ON HIGHLAND KEEPS THE PEDESTRIAN THOROUGHFARE IN SHADE
- MAIN BUILDING ENTRY IS LOCATED PROMINENTLY ON THE CORNER, BUT THE CENTRALLY LOCATED CORE HINDERS PARKING GARAGE LAYOUT AND INCREASES EXCAVATION
- THE BUILDING IS SETBACK FROM THE COMMERCIAL OFFICE BUILDING TO THE SOUTH, BUT THE CONSEQUENCE OF A CIRCULAR DESIGN IS UNITS RING AROUND THE OUTSIDE WHICH PLACES UNITS ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING ABUTTING THE COMMERCIAL BUILDING.







BUILDING ELEVATION



MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION 2 - OPEN TO THE EAST





AERIAL PLAN



AERIAL FROM NORTHEAST

PARTI DIAGRAMS





MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION 2 – OPEN TO THE EAST



VIEW FROM AURORA SOUTHBOUND SIDEWALK

VIEW OF NORTHEAST CORNER ALONG DEXTER AVE N



MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION 2 – SUN SHADOW STUDIES

SUMMER SOLSTICE





FALL/SPRING EQUINOX





WINTER SOLSTICE





9 am

l2 pm











 $\mathbf{\hat{}}$

MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION 2 - OPEN TO THE EAST



LEVEL I PLAN (LEVEL 2-3 SIM) - LOBBY CENTERED IN BUILDING ON DEXTER











KEY







MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION 2 APPROACH

DESIGN INSPIRATION

SYMMETRY. PROMINENT ENTRY. FRAMES. STRONG VERTICALS.

(I.) GRIDS OR FRAMES MAKE UP A UNIFORM PATTERN AROUND THE BUILDING. THE GRIDS CREATE A DEFINED LOCATION FOR WINDOW OPENINGS.

(2.) CARVED PORTION CREATES A CENTRALIZED ENTRY

(3.) USE OF SYMMETRY FOR STRONG BALANCED DESIGN AND STRONG VERTICALS








MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION 2 APPROACH

SUMMARY

- THIS SCHEME PROPOSED A MID-BLOCK COURTYARD ON DEXTER, CONTINUING DEXTER STREET WALL RHYTHM OF MID-BLOCK COURTYARDS. THE PROPOSED BUILDING SITE IS NOT AS WIDE AS ADJACENT SITES CREATING A VERY NARROW COURTYARD AND RESULTING IN A SPACE THAT IS NOT AS SUCCESSFUL.
- THE MASS IS LARGELY BROKEN DOWN ON DEXTER. SIMILAR TO SCHEME I, THE BULK ON THE NORTH SHADES AND OVERWHELMS THE PEDESTRIAN EXPERIENCE ON HIGHLAND. THE BENEFIT OF THE MASSING BREAK IS TO DEXTER WHICH WE NOTED TO BE A LESS CRITICAL EDGE TO BE SENSITIVE TO.
- CORRESPONDING WITH THE SYMMETRY OF THE DESIGN, THE ENTRY IS LOCATED IN THE CENTER OF THE SITE ON DEXTER.
- THE PROPOSED BUILDING IS SETBACK FROM THE COMMERCIAL BUILDING TO THE SOUTH, BUT THE SCHEME STILL PLACES MANY UNITS AGAINST THE SOUTH FACADE.





BUILDING SECTION



BUILDING ELEVATION



MASSING SCHEME: OPTION 3 - OPEN TO THE NORTH (PREFERRED SCHEME)





AERIAL PLAN



AERIAL FROM NORTHEAST

PARTI DIAGRAMS





MASSING SCHEME: OPTION 3 - OPEN TO THE NORTH (PREFERRED SCHEME)



VIEW FROM AURORA SOUTHBOUND SIDEWALK

VIEW OF NORTHEAST CORNER ALONG DEXTER AVE N







MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION 3 – SUN SHADOW STUDIES

SUMMER SOLSTICE





FALL/SPRING EQUINOX





WINTER SOLSTICE





9 am

l2 pm









3 pm



 $\mathbf{\hat{}}$

WEBER THOMPSON

MASSING SCHEME: OPTION 3 – OPEN TO THE NORTH (PREFERRED SCHEME)



LEVEL I PLAN (LEVEL 2-3 SIM) - LOBBY NEAR SOUTH EAST CORNER ON DEXTER









LEVEL 9-12 PLAN

KEY







MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION 3 APPROACH (PREFERRED SCHEME)

DESIGN INSPIRATION

ASYMMETRICAL COMPOSITION. VERTICAL RECESSED VOIDS. DECONSTRUCTED FACADE



LARGE OPEN COURTYARD GIVES A GENEROUS SPACE FOR PLACE MAKING.

- 2. STRONG 2-STORY EXPRESSION. STREET LEVEL PEDESTRIAN EXPERIENCE WITH CHANGE IN MASSING ABOVE. MASS ABOVE HAS STRONG VERTICAL RECESSED VOIDS.
- 3. BALANCED ASYMMETRICAL COMPOSITION WITH DECONSTRUCTED FACADE APPEARANCE. INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS ADD TO THE WHOLE OF THE BUILDING. BALCONIES ARE USED AS A PLAYFUL ELEMENT.









MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION 3 APPROACH (PREFERRED SCHEME)

SUMMARY

- THIS SCHEME MOVES THE OPEN COURTYARD TO THE NORTH SIDE CREATING A PHYSICAL BREAK IN THE FACADE TO THE NORTH WHICH IMPACTS THE PEDESTRIAN/ SCALE SENSITIVE HIGHLAND. IT ALSO ALLOWS HIGHLAND TO STAY LIT MORE OFTEN.
- VERTICAL CIRCULATION IS MOVED TO THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING, SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCING UNITS ALONG THE SOUTH FACADE. IT ALSO INCREASES UNITS TO THE COURTYARD AND NORTH. ACTIVATING THESE FACADES.
- THE BUILDING ENTRY IS LOCATED NEAR THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE SITE. MOVING THE ENTRY SEQUENCE AND CIRCULATION SPINE TO THE SOUTH ALLOWS THE REST OF THE BUILDING TO FACE OUTWARD IN OTHER DIRECTIONS.
- THE PHYSICAL BREAK AND CIRCULATION TO THE SOUTH GIVE THREE DISTINCT ELEMENTS TO ARTICULATE.
- THE BUILDING EDGES ALONG AURORA AND DEXTER HAVE LARGER SCALE URBAN RESPONSES APPROPRIATE TO THE STREET CHARACTER AND TRAFFIC PATTERNS.







BUILDING ELEVATION



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

MASSING SCHEMES: OPTION COMPARISON



OPTION I ENCLOSED COURTYARD

PROS

MASSING ACTIVATES CORNER OF DEXTER AND HIGHLAND

CONS

- MASSING HAS NO PHYSICAL RELIEF ON HIGHLAND OR DEXTER
- ENTRY AT DEXTER AND HIGHLAND MOVES BUILDING CORE LOCATION TO PREVENT A VERY LONG WALK; THIS NEGATIVELY IMPACTS THE GARAGE, REQUIRES MORE EXCAVATION AND PUSHES MORE UNITS TO THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING
- ENTRY AT DEXTER AND HIGHLAND CORNER DOES NOT ALLOW FOR FUTURE CORNER RETAIL
- MANY UNITS ARE LOCATED ADJACENT TO COMMERCIAL BUILDING TO THE SOUTH



OPTION 2 OPEN TO THE EAST

PROS

- MASSING VISUALLY BROKEN UP ON HIGHLAND
- RELATES TO ADJACENT BUILDING MASSES/ARTICULATION
- PROMINENT CENTER RESIDENTIAL ENTRY

CONS

- UNIFORM, LESS UNIQUE GROUND LEVEL
- CENTER ENTRY CREATES LESS DIRECT ROUTE TO BUILDING CORE
- COURTYARD LONG AND NARROW, NOT SUCCESSFUL AND LESS DESIRABLE TO USE
- LACKS PRIVACY FOR TENANTS WHO FACE COURTYARD
- MANY UNITS ARE LOCATED ADJACENT TO COMMERCIAL BUILDING TO THE SOUTH



PROS

- POTENTIAL RETAIL CORNER

- THE SOUTH

CONS

.



OPTION 3 OPEN TO THE NORTH (PREFERRED SCHEME)

• MASSING PHYSICALLY BROKEN ON HIGHLAND

• MASSING IS BROKEN DOWN INTO DISTINCT ELEMENTS WITH OPPORTUNITIES TO ADD UNIQUE CHARACTER TO EACH

ALLOWS FOR SUNLIGHT ONTO HIGHLAND

• MAJORITY OF UNITS RECEIVE VIEW TO THE EAST OR LAKE VIEWS

• VERY FEW UNITS ARE LOCATED ADJACENT TO THE COMMERCIAL BUILDING TO



LANDSCAPE DESIGN – STREETSCAPE PLAN



I. CONCEPT SECTION AT AURORA





6'-0" WIDE CONCRETE SIDEWALK AND 5'-0" PLANTING STRIP @ HIGHLAND BOULEVARD

8'-0" WIDE CONCRETE SIDEWALK (a) DEXTER AVENUE TO MATCH EXISTING DEXTER AVENUE SIDEWALK WIDTH

GREEN JOINTED PAVERS

AVENUE

DEXTER

4'-6" RIGHT OF WAY PLANTING TO MATCH EXISTING DEXTER AVENUE PLANTING STRIP WIDTH

LOBBY ENTRANCE HIGHLIGHTED WITH UNIQUE CONCRETE PATTERN AND COLOR

DARK CONCRETE BAND REINFORCES ARCHITECTURAL FRAME ELEMENTS



LANDSCAPE DESIGN - STREETSCAPE INSPIRATION







PROVIDE LANDSCAPE -

LUSH PLANT PALETTE TO ENHANCE THE STREETSCAPE AND **RESIDENTIAL EDGE**

 A MIX OF GRASSES, FLOWERING PERENNIALS AND EVERGREEN GROUNDCOVERS REINFORCES THE **RESIDENTIAL BUILDING USE AND CONTINUES THE** ORNAMENTAL PLANTING CHARACTER THAT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED ALONG DEXTER AVENUE.

ESTABLISH CONNECTIVITY –

ACTIVATE THE URBAN STREETSCAPE ALONG DEXTER AVENUE AND HIGHLAND DRIVE

- THE CONTINUED 8' WIDE SIDEWALK REPLACES THE FINAL "MISSING TOOTH" OF SIDEWALK ALONG THIS BUSTLING SECTION OF DEXTER AVENUE.
- A NEW SIDEWALK ALONG THE SOUTH EDGE OF HIGHLAND DRIVE COMPLETES THE CUL-DE-SAC AND CONNECTION TO THE PUBLIC HILL CLIMB.

CONTRIBUTE CHARACTER – MATERIAL CHOICES TO RESPOND AND CONTRIBUTE TO THE EXISTING NEIGHBORHOOD FABRIC

- A DARK CONCRETE BAND DISTINGUISHES THE PROPERTY AT THE NORTH AND EAST RIGHT OF WAYS TO REINFORCE THE ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND CONNECT TO THE PEDESTRIAN EXPERIENCE.
- GREEN JOINTED PAVEMENT ADDS A UNIQUE DETAIL TO THE PROJECT AND CREATES A THRESHOLD INTO PRIVATE UNIT ENTRIES.
- HIGHWAY.



 STORMWATER PLANTERS ALONG THE AURORA AVENUE PROPERTY LINE PROVIDES A GENEROUS BUFFER BETWEEN PEDESTRIANS AND UNITS THAT LOOK OUT ONTO THE

PRIORITY DESIGN GUIDELINES

SEATTLE GUIDELINES AND SOUTH LAKE UNION NEIGHBORHOOD DESIGN GUIDELINES

The following guidelines have been identified by the applicant as highest priority guidelines for the project to address given the context and existing site conditions. The project strives to respond to these guidelines.

	TITLE	DESCRIPTION	RESPONSE
CSI C.2	NATURAL SYSTEM AND SITE FEATURES. TOPOGRAPHY: ELEVATION CHANGES	Use the existing site topography when locating structures and open spaces on the site. Consider "stepping up or down" hillside to accommodate significant changes in elevation.	The proposed building is located on a site v
CS2 C.I	URBAN PATTERN AND FORM. RELATIONSHIP TO THE BLOCK: CORNER SITES	Corner sites can serve as gateways or focal points; both from two or more streets and long distances. Consider using a corner to provide extra space for pedestrians and a generous entry, or build out to the corner to provide a strong urban edge to the block.	The corner of Highland and Dexter will be poles located at the corner, in the massing physical and/or visible relief OR provide a st
CS3 SLU I-i + ii + DC2 A.2	ARCHITECTURAL CONTEXT AND CHARACTER: HEIGHT, BULK AND SCALE REDUCING PERCEIVED MASS/ FACADE COMPOSITION	 Neighborhood Priority: Articulate the building facades vertically or horizontally in intervals that relate to the existing structures or existing pattern of development in the vicinity. Consider using architectural features to reduce building scale such as: a. landscaping b. trellis c. complementary materials d. detailing e. accent trim Use secondary architectural elements to reduce the perceived mass of larger projects. Consider creating recesses or indentation in the building envelope; adding balconies, bay windows, porches, canopies or other elements; and/or highlighting building entries. 	Through the use of bays, differing facade tro complement the existing buildings. At grade stepping back in section and/or indentation
PLI SLU I-iii	CONNECTIVITY: HUMAN ACTIVITY	Neighborhood Priority: Design for a network of safe and well-lit connections to encourage human activity and link existing high activity areas.	The building is adjacent to the Highland Dr celebrate the hill climb and add light to the
PL2 SLU I	WALKABILITY: STREETSCAPE COMPATIBILITY	Neighborhood Priority: The vision for street level uses in South Lake Union is a completed network of sidewalks that successfully accommodate pedestrians. Streetscape compatibility is a high priority of the neighborhood with redevelopment. sidewalk-related spaces should appear safe, welcoming and open to the general public.	Entry to the building will be prominent with experience along with landscape and sidew







PLI: EXISTING HILL CLIMB



te with a steep slope, to respond to the slope the building will step.

be a strong design element. Due to setbacks required for power ng schemes there is an opportunity to carve out the corner providing a strong edge at the base and relief above.

e treatments and/or larger volumes, the building will be of a scale to rade level the building will respond to reducing scale with canopies, ions in plan.

Dr hill climb that accesses bus routes on Aurora Ave N. The design will the path, encouraging human activity and safety.

vith weather protection. Lighting will be provided for an inviting safe ewalk to match existing improvements.





PRIORITY DESIGN GUIDELINES

SEATTLE GUIDELINES AND SOUTH LAKE UNION NEIGHBORHOOD DESIGN GUIDELINES

The following guidelines have been identified by the applicant as highest priority guidelines for the project to address given the context and existing site conditions. The project strives to respond to these guidelines.

	T 1 T 1 C	DECODIDEION	RECRONICE
	TITLE	DESCRIPTION	RESPONSE
PL3 III	STREET-LEVEL INTERACTION: TRANSITION BETWEEN RESIDENCE AND STREET	Consider designing the entries of residential building to enhance the character of the streetscape through the use of small gardens, stoops and other elements to create a transition between the public and private areas. Consider design options to accommodate carious residential uses, i.e., townhouse, live-work, apartment and senior assisted housing.	Units at the sidewalk level have been setbac sense of identity for the tenants.
DCI C.I +	PROJECT USES AND ACTIVITIES: PARKING AND SERVICE USES	Below grade parking: locate parking below grade wherever possible. Where surface parking lot is the only alternative, locate the parking in rear or side yards, or on lower or less visible portion of the site.	Due to the steep slope of the site, a portior parking setback behind programmed buildir existing bulb.
SLU I	DESIGN OF PARKING LOTS NEAR SIDEWALKS	Neighborhood Priority: providing parking below grade is preferred	
DC2 SLU I	ARCHITECTURAL CONCEPT AND CONSISTENCY	Neighborhood Priority: Design the "fifth elevation" - the roofscape - in addition to the streetscape. As this area topographically is a valley, the roofs may be viewed from locations outside the neighborhood such as the freeway and Space Needle. Therefore, views from outside the area as well as from within the neighborhood should be considered, and roof-top elements should be organized to minimize view impacts from the freeway and elevated areas.	The roof-top adjacent to Aurora will be a la to South Lake Union. The roof-top closest will be highly visible from the occupied roof
DC2 B.I + DC4	FACADE COMPOSITION	Design all building facades - including alleys and visible roofs - considering composition and architectural expression of the building as a whole. Ensure that all facades are attractive and well proportioned through the placement and detailing of all elements, including bays, fenestration, and building materials, and any patterns created by their arrangement. On sites that abut an alley, design the alley facade and its connection to the street carefully. At a minimum, consider wrapping the treatment of the street-facing facade around the alley corner of the building.	With three facades of the building prominer of how materials and facade elements wrap design. The appropriate type and durability
A .I		Exterior Finish Materials: Building exteriors should be constructed of durable and maintainable materials that are attractive even when viewed up close. Materials that have texture, pattern, or lend themselves to a high quality of detailing are encouraged.	
DC3 B.4	OPEN SPACE CONCEPT: OPEN SPACE USES AND ACTIVITIES	Multifamily Open Space: Design common and private open space in multifamily project for use by all residents to encourage physical activity and social interaction. Some examples include areas for gardening, children's play (covered and uncovered)	Common and private open spaces will be p courtyard.



PL3 III







DC2 B.I

back to provide a recessed entry and patio space. This allows for a

ion of the parking will be below grade with the remainder of the ding elements. The entry to the garage will be off of Highland Dr at an

a landscaped, occupiable amenity space for residents, providing views st to Dexter, although not occupiable, will be landscaped as well, as it pof-top.

nently visible and two corner conditions, the design will be mindful ap corners. Materials on the building will be a quality to enhance the ity of materials will be used on different locations of the building.

provided for the use of the residents, including a roof-top deck and a





DC3 B.4



THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX

SITE ANALYSIS: TREE SURVEY



DECIDUOUS SIGNIFICANT TREE TO BE REMOVED

NON SIGNIFICANT TREES TO BE REMOVED

NOTE: NO EXCEPTIONAL TREES LOCATED ON SITE



THANK YOU