

PRC

From: Bryn Bjorklund <bbjorklund17@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2021 3:34 PM
To: PRC
Subject: Project# 3036974-EG, Abby Weber

Categories: Public Comment

CAUTION: External Email

Hello,

I am writing in response to the proposed 162 unit building on Reblcan in Queen Anne. It is completely unrealistic and disrespectful to the community to propose such a large building and have absolutely no parking. We struggle on the daily to find parking, with or without zone parking and with or without covid. Additionally, we have the new arena coming and many more buildings. What is the solution? Queen Anna already has a large amount of unoccupied apartment/condos as the community becomes more difficult, whether that be population, jobs, high rent, lack of parking or feeling unsafe. Removing one of the few remaining older buildings with character in the community will already be painful to watch. Please do not create an even longer lasting pain by forcing more cars onto our narrow roadways.

Thank you for reading and considering my comments.

Bryn Bjorklund
Queen Anne resident (5th Ave W)

PRC

From: John Strahan <jcdain951@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2021 3:15 PM
To: PRC
Subject: Project #3036974-EG

Categories: Public Comment

CAUTION: External Email

In regards to the proposed construction for this project, I am wondering how it could possibly be approved that a 162 unit building would have NO PARKING included in this project. Where are the residents going to be parking? There's minimal street parking availability as is, and this is during COVID. What happens when the new Arena is opened? I understand that Seattle has an absolute hatred towards vehicles, but not everybody has the benefit of working from home. I live in Queen Anne, but work 35 miles away. Public transportation is not an option. If driving people away from living in this neighborhood is the end result, then by all means, continue with this unfair plan. Otherwise, please reconsider this terrible idea.

Respectfully,
John Strahan

PRC

From: traciekalk@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2021 2:38 PM
To: PRC
Subject: 3036974-EG - ABBY Weber

Categories: Public Comment

CAUTION: External Email

Hello – I am writing regarding the proposed 8 story, 162 unit apartment building with ZERO parking proposed. It states parking and traffic are an environmental concern, but why wouldn't those be addressed first? What is the point of approving a design of a building without taking these factors into place first?

How is this even being considered by our city in a neighborhood where an 18,000+ seat arena is and where there is already traffic and parking problems?

What is the average vehicle ownership in the city for renters? Seattle is not a public transportation city like New York and Chicago. AT LEAST half of the residents are going to have a vehicle. So where are they going to park? And how is the change in traffic flow going to be addressed if for some insane reason the city decides to actually accept this proposal despite protest from neighborhood residence you are already dealing with the change in traffic from the viaduct being torn down?

No to mention tearing down one of the few remaining buildings in the neighborhood that has any character.

Are there any formulas or guidelines that have been developed that show the urban capacity of a neighborhood based on the CURRENT infrastructure? Not a proposed public transportation line that is over 10 years down the road.

Thank you for your time and consideration of the concerns of the neighborhood.

Sincerely,
Tracie Kalkbrenner

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10